

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

10 DENNIS J. HUELBIG, JR., *et al.*,

Case No. 2:17-cv-02669-RFB-CWH

Plaintiff.

V.

13 ANNETTE L. PRATTE,

Defendant.

ORDER

16 Before the Court for consideration is the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 4) of the
17 Honorable Carl W. Hoffman, United States Magistrate Judge, entered December 5, 2017.

18 A district court “may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or
19 recommendations made by the magistrate.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). A party may file specific
20 written objections to the findings and recommendations of a magistrate judge. 28 U.S.C. §
21 636(b)(1); Local Rule IB 3-2(a). When written objections have been filed, the district court is
22 required to “make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed
23 findings or recommendations to which objection is made.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); see also Local
24 Rule IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, a district court is not required to conduct
25 “any review,” de novo or otherwise, of the report and recommendations of a magistrate judge.
26 Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Pursuant to Local Rule IB 3-2(a), objections were due
27 by December 19, 2017. No objections have been filed. The Court has reviewed the record in this
28 case and concurs with the Magistrate Judge’s recommendations.

1 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 4) is
2 ACCEPTED and ADOPTED in full.

3 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Plaintiffs' case be dismissed without prejudice.

4 The Clerk of Court is directed to serve a copy of this Order upon Plaintiff.

5 DATE: January 16, 2018.

6
7 
8

RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II
9 United States District Judge

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28